CREEK Project's Microzooplankton Seasonal Monitoring Database

Year Released to Public	2004
Distribution URL for file	http://links.baruch.sc.edu/data/accessfiles/CREEK_Projects_Microzooplankton_Seasonal_Monitoring_Database.zip
DATASET TITLE:	CREEK Project's Microzooplankton Seasonal Monitoring Database for Eight Creeks in the North Inlet Estuary, South Carolina: 1997-1999

INVESTIGATOR INFORMATION :	Investigator 1	Investigator 2	Investigator 3	Data Manager
First Name	Michael	Dennis	Richard	Franklin
Last Name	Wetz	Allen	Dame	Anoruo
Address line 1	104 Ocean Administration Bldg	USC Baruch Marine Field Lab	Coastal Carolina University	USC Baruch Marine Field Lab
Address line 2	College of Oceanic and Atmospheric Sciences, Oregon State University	PO Box 5484	Department of Marine Science	PO Box 5484
Address line 3			PO BOX 261954	
City	Corvallis	Georgetown	Conway	Georgetown
State	Oregon	SC	SC	SC
Zip Code	97331	29442	29528	29442
Country	USA	USA	USA	USA

OTHERS:	Alan Lewitus, Richard Dame, Eric Koepfler, David Buschek, Don Edwards, Bjorn Kjerfve, Leah Gregory
Data Set Credit	**Supported by the National Science Foundation's Research Experience for Undergraduates (REU) Program, grant DEB-9509057, the US ECOHAB Program, sponsored by NOAA/NSF/EPA/NASA/ONR, grant NA86OP0493, NOAA grant NA90AA-D-SG672, and EPA grant R826944-01-0.**

DATA FILE INFORMATION:

This condensed metadata is from the original, more extensive metadata created on 2/24/2005 by Ginger Ogburn-Matthews.

If needed, the original may be accessed at:

http://links.baruch.sc.edu/Data/CREEK/CreekMicroZPK/Metadata/CREEK.MICROZPK.FINAL.note.pdf

Links and email addresses in the original have not been updated as those locations and people may no longer be available.

The data manager identified on this page should be contacted for any questions about the data.

Data File Name	CREEK_Projects_Microzooplankton_Seasonal_Monitoring_Database.zip
Beginning Date	01-Nov-1996
End Date	01-May-2000
Number of Data Records	653

RESEARCH LOCATION:	North Inlet Estuary			
Geographic Description	All eight creeks reside in North Inlet Estuary, four off of Clambank Creek, and four off of Town Creek. The North Inlet Estuary (33.20'N, 79.10'W) lies east of the uplands of Hobcaw Barony (also known as the Belle W. Baruch Property). The Estuary is located in Georgetown County, South Carolina.			
Sampling Site Location Map	Map of the eight creek sites (link): **http://links.baruch.sc.edu/data/CREEK/CreekOysterBiomass/OysterBio.htm**			

Location Bounding Box	
West Bounding Coordinate	-79.192
East Bounding Coordinate	-79.167
North Bounding Coordinate	33.35
South Bounding Coordinate	33.327
OR if single point location	
Latitude	
Longitude	
Elevation	

TAXONOMIC COVERAGE:	
Taxonomic Protocols	
Organisms studied	Eastern oyster (Crassostrea virginica), Microzooplankton

KEYWORDS: ammonia, biomass, bacteria, chemistry,chlorophyll a, coastal, crassotrea virginica, carbon, crab, creek project, dissolved organic carbon, ecosystems, estuarine communities, estuarine, estuary, fish, field experiment, inorganic suspended solids, sediments, water chemistry, tidal creek, live biomass, marsh, microzooplankton, microbial loop, nitrate, nitrite, nutrient chemistry, nutrient cycling, nitrogen, ortho phophate, oysters, phosphate, phosphorus, phytoplankton, salinity, shrimp, salt marsh, suspended sediment, stop net, total suspended solids, water temperature, water quality, north inlet estuary, south carolina, town creek, clambank creek, east coast, southeast coast, coastal, georgetown county, usa, water column, surface waters, benthos, benthic, bottom

ABSTRACT:

Abstract:
A group of eight tidal creeks dominated by oysters, Crassotrea virginica, in North Inlet, South Carolina, USA were studied using a replicated BACI (Before - After Control - Incident) design in which all creeks are sampled simultaneously. Before the pre-manipulation year, oyster biomass in each creek was manipulated so that all eight creeks had an equal oyster biomass to water volume ratio of 8 grams dry body weight of oysters per cubic meter. Detailed geomorphological observations were made on each creek as the study began. Nutrients and chlorophyll a were measured weekly in each creek and exhibited seasonal and inter-annual influences. Phytoplankton pigment levels were measured with high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Intensive planktonic - microbial loop and nekton samplings were conducted seasonally. Oyster growth was measured monthly. In the second or manipulation year, the role of oysters was tested by removing them from four creeks. Planktonic abundance and dispersal of the oyster parasite, Perkinsus marinus, nekton abundance and biomass, oyster biomass, growth and survival, changes in water chemistry, and phytoplankton pigment levels were also investigated in the tidal creeks during this manipulation year.

Purpose:
The CREEK Project was initiated in order to investigate the hypothesis that oyster reefs control the structure and function of intertidal creeks. In order to verify the outcome of the project, other variables (i.e. water chemistry, chlorophyll a, suspended sediment, nekton, and phytoplankton) were monitored to provide ecosystem level information and understanding about the role of oyster reefs.

METHODS:

Statistical Design(Statistical Field Design of Creeks)
A replicated BACI (Before-After Control-Impact) design with eight similar tidal creeks as replicates was used for this Creek project. Creeks were additionally assigned to one of four blocks based on their physical locations within the estuary and suspected or known spatial differences at this scale. Blocking was deemed important because Clambank Creek creeks drain an upland area whereas Town Creek creeks do not border any uplands, and because there is a salinity gradient from north to south with those creeks further south more likely to experience low salinity spillover from Winyah Bay during "freshets". The "Before Manipulation Year" began in March 1997 and ended in February 1998. The "After Manipulation Year" began in March 1998 following the removal of oysters from 4 randomly selected creeks, two each in Clambank and Town Creeks. Thus, the CREEK study satisfies a number of concerns raised by Hurlbert (1984): (1) there are control creeks; (2) the creeks are replicated; and (3) the creeks are sampled repeatedly, both before and after the intervention. In addition, the design heeds the recommendation of Stewart-Oaten et al. (1986) by sampling all creeks simultaneously. The statistical analysis after the intervention year is an adaptation of Stewart-Oaten et al.'s (1986) proposed analysis.

Field Collection Procedures and Protocols (Tidal Creek Morphology)
A detailed topographic/bathymetric survey of each creek and its basin was conducted utilizing a Topcon total station. All elevations were referenced to a common datum that are in turn referenced to eight USGS permanent benchmarks. These data generated estimates of creek length, width, cross-sectional area at mouth, surface area, and water volume.

Field Collection Procedures and Protocols (Plankton and Microbial Loop Sampling)
The planktonic food web in the experimental system was examined using a series of bioassay experiments. Replicate samples were collected at a morning mid-ebb tide at each of the eight experimental creeks and dispensed into 1-liter acid-cleaned polycarbonate bottles.

Laboratory Collection Procedures and Protocols (Plankton and Microbial Loop)
Samples were incubated under various treatments designed to examine the effect of substrate enrichment or reduced grazing pressure on phytoplankton community biomass (chlorophyll a). The treatments included 4 μM NH_4 addition, 20 μ M glycine addition, and a 20:1 dilution treatment used to reduce grazing pressure on phytoplankton by decreasing encounter rates between microzooplankton and phytoplankton prey (Landry and Hassett 1982). Lewitus et al. (1998) have found, from experiments involving serial dilution of North Inlet water, that a 20:1 dilution fell within the range where grazer reduction over 72 hours was saturated. Bottles were incubated in raceways containing flowing estuarine water to simulate tidal creek temperatures. Overhead fluorescent cool white bulbs provided uniform irradiance adjusted to a light/dark cycle simulating natural conditions. Water samples were mechanically stirred (gently) at uniform rates between bottles. Chlorophyll a was measured daily at mid-day over the 72-hour time course.

Field Collection Procedures and Protocols (Nekton Abundance and Biomass)
Nekton seasonal abundance and biomass were determined for each creek. Simultaneous collections of nekton were made with block nets set at early morning slack high tide at all eight creek mouths. Catches were removed from the block nets, and pools within each creek bed were seined at low tide to provide a complete assessment of fish and motile macroinvertebrate use of the creeks. To investigate the role of oyster reefs on nekton, live oysters were removed from creeks 1, 4, 5, and 8. In 1998, all eight creeks were again sampled for nekton, following the same procedures established in 1997. All samples were frozen.

Laboratory Collection Procedures and Protocols (Nekton Abundance and Biomass)
To determine species biomass and abundance, pool samples from 1997 and 1998 were thawed and animals were sorted by species. Most animals were identified by genus and species; however some were identified only to the genus level. A Fish Measuring Board (FMB) was used to obtain SL (in mm) data for each member of a species up to 100. Biomass (in grams) data was obtained first for the 100 members, and then for the entire species sample. Species abundance was then determined by the FMB. This procedure was completed for each species in the pool sample. For block nets, samples were thawed and sub-sampled following the preceding guidelines. Total species biomass and abundance were extrapolated from sub-sample data using the FMB.

Field Collection Procedures and Protocols (Oyster Biomass)
Pre-manipulation refers to the period of time that data were collected from the intertidal creeks after adjusting all eight creek oyster biomass to 8 grams dry body weight per cubic meter and before removal of oysters from the four manipulated creeks. Post-manipulation is that period following removal of oysters from the four manipulated creeks. Survey maps were used to determine the contours of the creeks, the volume of water in the creeks, and the location of oyster reefs within the creeks. Before the pre-manipulation year observations began, the area of each creek covered by oyster reef was measured from field surveys. Oysters from 10 quadrats (0.25^2) distributed at different elevations along the length of each creek were collected and total dry body weight per quadrat averaged for each creek. Oyster biomass for each creek was then estimated by multiplying the average biomass per quadrat by the area of oyster reef in each creek. Oysters were then redistributed among creeks by hand to yield an oyster biomass of 8 grams dry body weight per cubic meter of water volume in each creek. Oyster biomass estimates were made from converting length measurements to dry body weight using the allometric relationship published in Dame 1972. The grams dry body per cubic meter relationship was used because it more realistically describes the benthic-pelagic coupling of the oysters to the water column (Dame 1993).

Field Collection Procedures and Protocols (Water Chemistries, Suspended solids, Chl a)
Water samples were taken once a week from each study creek for chemical analysis. The samples were taken approximately mid-way between the daytime high and low tide stages. Water samples were taken from the center of each creek mouth at a depth of 1 m below the surface, but not closer than about 0.3 m to the bottom. Triplicate samples were collected from each creek and all creeks were sampled within 45 minutes. The sample bottles were immediately placed in ice and rushed to the laboratory for analysis. Temperature was measured at each site as samples were collected.

Laboratory Procedures and Protocols (Water Chemistry, Chlorophyll *a*, Suspended Solids)
Salinity values were determined after the water sample was brought back into the laboratory, by placing the water from the sample onto a hand-held refractometer. Seventy-five to 500 ml of the water samples were filtered through a pre-weighed pre-combusted Whatman GFF 0.7 μm (nominal pore size) glass fiber filter usually within one hour of the water sample collection at the Baruch Marine Field Laboratory's Water Chemistry Lab to separate the particulates from the water. Samples were shaken up first before filtering began; the amount of water filtered was determined by how much sediment and other solids were in the sample. In the winter in the absence of phytoplankton blooms and when sedimentation was low, up to 400 ml were filtered. In the summer and usually after heavy rains less water was filtered; the determining factor was to get a good sample of suspended solids on the filter from the water sample in order to get beyond the minimum detection limits of the total suspended solids analysis.

A 0.7 μm (nominal pore size) glass fiber filter was used throughout the entire study to determine the cutoff between dissolved and particulate constitutes in the water sample. The filtered water is then run through a Technicon Analyzer. The following water chemistry analysis used filtered aliquots (< 0.7 μm): ammonia, nitrate, ortho phosphate, total nitrogen, total phosphorus, and dissolved organic carbon. What remained on the 0.7 μm filters were used for the Suspended solids and Chlorophyll a analysis. For more details on Water Chemistry, Chlorophyll a, and suspended solids laboratory procedures and citations, please refer to the metadata for this subproject.

Field Collection Procedures and Protocols (Phytoplankton Pigment Field Collection Protocol)
Water samples collected for chemical analysis (Water Chemistry, Chlorophyll a, and Suspended Solids) were also used for phytoplankton pigment analysis. The samples were taken in triplicate (A, B, and C) approximately mid-way between the daytime high and low tide stages, from the center of each creek mouth, and at a depth of 1 m below the surface, but not closer than about 0.3 m to the bottom. Water temperatures were measured as the samples were taken (see Creek Water Chemistry data) and all samples were collected within 45 minutes. Sample bottles were immediately placed on ice and rushed to the laboratory for processing. Samples were collected on a weekly basis, however, not all samples were processed for pigments. In 1997 and 1998 duplicate samples (A and B) were processed. In 1999 only one sample (B) was used. Water samples were processed for pigments more frequently in summer months and least frequently in winter months. Processing frequency varied from every couple of months to every couple of weeks.

Laboratory Procedures and Protocols (Phytoplankton Pigment Sample Processing and HPLC Analysis)
The water samples were filtered using a 25-millimeter glass fiber grade F (GF/F) filter, with a vacuum of 10 inches mercury or less, within a couple hours of collection. The filter and the algae it contained were stored in a -80 degree Celsius freezer until the samples were needed. From this freezer, each sample (filter with algae) was placed into a glass vial. The HPLC technician added 2 milliliters of HPLC grade acetone and agitated the mixture with a vortex mixer for about 20 seconds, or until the filter broke down. The filter and algae "slurry" were then placed in a -20 degree Celsius freezer overnight. The next morning, the sample was vortexed again and then syringe filtered through a 0.2 micron pore size PTFE membrane. The filtrate was stored at -20 degrees Celsius until it was analyzed, usually within a day or two. Analysis was conducted using a Beckman System Gold HPLC, initially with the Beckman Gold software and later with the 32 Karat Gold software, following the method of Van Heukelem and Thomas (2001) specific for the Agilent XDB C8 column.

Field Collection Procedures and Protocols (Oyster Disease Monitoring)
In August 1997, 10 oysters were collected from each of three to five discrete reefs located along the main stem of each creek. Oysters were collected during low tide and the distance from the creek mouth, creek depth, temperature and salinity were recorded. Samples were returned to the laboratory and refrigerated until processed for Perkinsus marinus infection intensity. Following the experimental removal of virtually all oysters from the experimental creeks, oysters that recruited into both experimental (removal) and control creeks were subsequently sampled using the same 1997 methodology in fall of 1999. Percent prevalence and weighted prevalences were calculated and compared among experimental and removal creeks and with respect to distance from mouth and depth of reef at collection site.

Laboratory Procedures and Protocols (Oyster Disease Monitoring)
Samples were returned to the laboratory and refrigerated until processed for Perkinsus marinus infection intensity. P. marinus infection intensity was determined using Ray's fluid thioglycollate medium (RFTM) assay (Ray, SM 1966).

Field Collection Procedures and Protocols (Internal and External Creek Habitat Survey)
The internal habitats of all eight intertidal creeks (4 in Clambank Creek, 4 in Town Creek) were surveyed manually (on foot) using tape measures during low tide. One tape was positioned along the central axis of the creek and a shorter tape was used to determine width of the creek bottom (from the lowest points of adjacent creek banks) perpendicular to the axis. The widths were determined every one meter along the lengths of the main creek and each tributary.

A one meter by one meter PVC quadrat was used to determine the bottom type of every square meter of each creek. Classification was based according to 16 bottom types. Bottom sediments without living oysters were classified as soft mud, sandy mud, shelly mud, and shelly sand. Twelve other categories were based on low density shell, medium clusters, or dense aggregates of living oysters present on those four sediment types. These data were used to generate percent values for proportions of the various bottom types. Aggregates of these data were used to create three general categories of bottom types: percent mud, percent hard bottom without shell, and percent oyster (live).

Additional internal geomorphological variables included: cross-sectional area at the mouth, number of branches (forks of tributaries), number of changes in direction of the creek axis that exceeded 10%, number of pools at low tide, distance to next closest intertidal creek mouth, and the area of submerged bottom at low tide.

External characteristics just outside the study creeks were also surveyed. Bottom types were determined for the intertidal area between the mouth of each intertidal creek and the mean low water (MLW) mark at the edge of the adjacent subtidal creek. Wheras the distance between the mouth and MLW varied among sites, the lateral extent of the area used for this set of bottom type analyses was defined as ten meters upstream and ten meters downstream of the mouths of each intertidal creek. The axis of this fixed 20 m dimension was perpedicular to the mouth of the intertidal creek, and the width of this area from the mouth to MLW varied from 2-9 m at the different locations. The same procedure identified for charcterizing bottom area and types inside of the intertidal creeks was used for characterizing the area outside of the mouths.

Some of these survey measurements were combined with the total station survey measurements done by Corbett, et al. to create addition-derived variables describing the geomorphology of the creeks.

Field Collection Procedures and Protocols (Oyster Growth and Survival)
During the pre-manipulation year, oyster growth and survivorship were observed by placing plastic mesh bags containing 25 marked and measured oysters in each of the eight experimental tidal creeks. As the creeks are ephemeral and tidal exposure is a critical factor in bivalve physiology, bags were placed at four approximately equidistant locations along the mainstem of each creek at approximately the same measured elevation. Summer observations were between July and October, and fall-winter observations were from October to February.

Field Collection Procedures and Protocols (Oyster Growth and Survival)
Growth was measured as change in length to the nearest 0.1 mm.

VARIABLE DESCRIPTIONS:

Variable Name	Variable Description	Units	Measurement Scale	Code Information	Number Type
Creek	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running into Town Creek. See map for creek numbering and location within North Inlet Estuary.	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8	nominal	1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) || 5-8 (Creeklets running into Town Creek)	integer
Date	month/day/year (mm/dd/yyyy) that the sample was collected (not necessarily processed or analyzed)		datetime		
Bag	the letter identification of each of the four bags deployed in the creeks. Bag A was closest to the creek mouth, B was the next deployed bag, and D was the bag deployed furthest up into the creek.	A,B,C,D	nominal		
Oyster#	the numbering identification of each of the 25 oysters in each bag		nominal		integer
Initial	the shell height measurement at the deployment date.	millimeters	ratio		real
Final	the shell height measurement after each deployment.	millimeters	ratio		real
Growth	Final Oyster Shell Height – Initial Oyster Shell Height. (This calculation was done forall of the oysters on each date.)	millimeters	ratio		real
Days	the number of days between the deployment date and the recovery date.		datetime		integer
Daily Growth	Growth divided by the number of elapsed days, i.e. 8/18/97 – 10/21/97 = 64 days.	millimeters	ratio		real
All Pigment Parameters	Pigment concentrations (reported in nanograms per milliliter) were calculated by the HPLC technician using the following formula: (Peak Area * Rf * 20) / Volume. See the Process Section for more information on this equation. The HPLC technician felt that the resulting concentration values were accurate to the second decimal place and that the third place was a valid estimate.	nanograms per milliliter	ratio		real
Creek/Rep	The creek number (1-8) and replicate designation (A-B) are integers and have no decimal places assigned to them.		nominal		integer
Total Nitrogen Filtered (TNF)	The Technicon Autoanalyzer used for these analyses reports values to three decimal places, but due to variations and slight contamination of the oxidizing reagent, handling, and processing of the water samples, the accuracy of the final values is only to ± 1 micromoles per liter. However, the measurements (reported in micromoles per liter) are reported with one decimal place. Negative calculated values for total nitrogen and phosphorus can occur and negative values are the result of mathematical manipulation of the raw values.	± 1 micromoles per liter	ratio		real

Total Phosphorus Filtered (TPF)	The Technicon Autoanalyzer used for these analyses reports values to three decimal places, but due to variations and slight contamination of the oxidizing reagent, handling, and processing of the water samples, the accuracy of the final values is only to ± 1 micromoles per liter. However, the measurements (reported in micromoles per liter) are reported with one decimal place. Negative calculated values for total nitrogen and phosphorus can occur and negative values are the result of mathematical manipulation of the raw values.	± 1 micromoles per liter	ratio		real	
Ortho phosphate (PO4)	The handling and processing of these nutrients is more accurate, and there is less room for error. The measurements (reported in micromoles per liter) are given with two decimal places.	± 0.08 micromoles per liter	ratio		real	
Ammonia (NH4)	The handling and processing of these nutrients is more accurate, and there is less room for error. The measurements (reported in micromoles per liter) are given with two decimal places.	± 0.2 micromoles per liter	ratio		real	
Nitrate (NO3)	The handling and processing of these nutrients is more accurate, and there is less room for error. The measurements (reported in micromoles per liter) are given with two decimal places.	± 0.1 micromoles per liter	ratio		real	
Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC)	A Shimadzu Carbon analyzer was used that reads to the nearest one hundredth, but because the final value is an average of the three values, the nearest tenth of a milligram per liter is used (one decimal place).	± 0.1 milligrams per liter	ratio		real	
Total Suspended Solids (SusSol)	The balance reads to the fourth decimal place of a gram (ten thousands of a gram), but humidity in the air can influence the filters, so the 3rd decimal place is read and assumed accurate (±0.001 g = ± 1 milligram). Measurements are reported in grams/liter with three decimal places in the database.	± 0.001 grams per liter (± 1 milligram per liter)	ratio		real	
Organic Suspended Solids (OSS)	The balance reads to the fourth decimal place of a gram (ten thousands of a gram), but humidity in the air can influence the filters, so the 3rd decimal place is read and assumed accurate (±0.001 g = ± 1 milligram). Measurements are reported in grams/liter with three decimal places in the database.	± 0.001 grams per liter (± 1 milligram per liter)	ratio		real	
Inorganic Suspended Solids (ISS)	The balance reads to the fourth decimal place of a gram (ten thousands of a gram), but humidity in the air can influence the filters, so the 3rd decimal place is read and assumed accurate (±0.001 g = ± 1 milligram). Measurements are reported in grams/liter with three decimal places in the database.	± 0.001 grams per liter (± 1 milligram per liter)	ratio		real	
Chlorophyll a (Chla)	Strickland and Parsons (1972) say that detection limits depend upon the volume filtered and the sensitivity of the fluorometer. Using a Turner fluorometer, the accuracy limit documentation states a limit of 0.01 µg/L when 2 L was filtered. Because we filter 10-20 ml, the accuracy would be much less. We are estimating that our chlorophyll accuracy is 0.1 µg/L at best. No tests have been done to verify this. Measurements are reported in micrograms/liter with two decimal places in the database.	± 0.1 micrograms per liter (µg/l)	ratio		real	
Salinity	Refractometer has lines that represent every two part per thousands and can be read to the nearest part per thousand; but the instrument is only accurate ± 2 parts per thousand. The measurements are reported in parts per thousand with no decimal places in the database.	± 2 parts per thousand	ratio		real	
Water Temperature	Thermometers have lines for each degree C. Could only read to the nearest 1°C with no decimal places in the database.	± 1 degrees Celsius	ratio		real	