CREEK Project's Oyster	Biomass Database				
Year Released to Public	2003				
Distribution URL for file	http://links.baruch.sc.edu/data/accessfiles/CREEK_Projects_Oysto				
DATASET TITLE:	CREEK Project's Oyster Biomass Database for Eight Creeks in th	e North Inlet Estuary, South Carolina	1		
INVESTIGATOR INFORMATION :	Investigator 1	Investigator 2	Investigator 3	Data Manager	
First Name	Michael	Dennis	Richard	Franklin	
Last Name	Wetz	Allen	Dame	Anoruo	
	104 Ocean Administration Bldg	USC Baruch Marine Field Lab	Coastal Carolina University	USC Baruch Marine Field Lab	
Address line 2	College of Oceanic and Atmospheric Sciences, Oregon State University	PO Box 5484	Department of Marine Science	PO Box 5484	
Address line 3	•	FO BOX 3484	Department of Marine Science PO BOX 261954	FO BOX 3464	
	- ···	Georgetown	Conway	Georgetown	
State	Oregon	sc	SC	SC	
Zip Code		29442	29528	29442	
Country	USA	USA	USA	USA	
OTHERS:	Alan Lewitus, Richard Dame, Eric Koepfler, David Buschek, Don				
	Edwards, Bjorn Kjerfve, Leah Gregory				
Data Set Credit					
	Research was funded by the National Science Foundation's F				
	Undergraduates (REU) Program, grant DEB-9509057, the US ENOAA/NSF/EPA/NASA/ONR, grant NA860P0493, NOAA grant				
	R826944-01-0.	NASUAA-D-30072, and EFA grant			
DATA FILE INFORMATION:	This condensed metadata is from the original, more extensive	e metadata created on 2/24/2005 by	Ginger Ogburn-Matthews.		
	If needed, the original may be accessed at:				
	http://links.baruch.sc.edu/data/CREEK/CreekOysterBior	nass/metadata/Adobe.CreekOy	sterBiomass.metadata.pdf		
	Links and email addresses in the original have not been updated a	as those locations and people may no	o longer be available.		
	Zinne and email addresses in the original have het soon apaated t	as these lesations and people may in	o longer se avanasie.		
	The data manager identified on this page should be contacted for				
Data File Name	CREEK_Projects_Oyster_Biomass_Database_North_Inlet_Estua				
Do ninanina n Doto	ry.zip				
Beginning Date	01-Nov-1996 01-May-2000				
Number of Data Records	3 48				
RESEARCH LOCATION:	North Inlet Estuary				
Geographic Description	All eight creeks reside in North Inlet Estuary, four off of Clambank Creek, and four off of Town Creek. The North Inlet Estuary				
	(33.20'N, 79.10'W) lies east of the uplands of Hobcaw Barony				
	(also known as the Belle W. Baruch Property). The Estuary is				
	located in Georgetown County, South Carolina.				
Sampling Site Location Map					
	Map of the eight creek sites (link):				
	http://links.baruch.sc.edu/data/CREEK/CreekOysterBio				
	mass/OysterBio.htm				
Location Bounding Box					
West Bounding Coordinate	-79.192				
East Bounding Coordinate	-79.167 33.35				
North Bounding Coordinate South Bounding Coordinate	33.327				
OR if single point location					
Latitude					
Longitude					
Elevation					
					-
TAXONOMIC COVERAGE:					
Taxonomic Protocols					
Organisms studiog	Eastern oyster (Crassostrea virginica), Microzooplankton	1			

KEYWORD INFORMATION		
	ammonia, biomass, bacteria, chemistry,chlorophyll a, coastal, crassotrea virginica, carbon, crab, creek project, dissolved	
	organic carbon, ecosystems, estuarine communities, estuarine,	
	estuary, fish, field experiment, inorganic suspended solids,	
	sediments, water chemistry, tidal creek, live biomass, marsh, microzooplankton, microbial loop, nitrate, nitrite, nutrient	
	chemistry, nutrient cycling, nitrogen, ortho phophate, oysters,	
	phosphate, phosphorus, phytoplankton, salinity, shrimp, salt marsh, suspended sediment, stop net, total suspended solids,	
	water temperature, water quality, north inlet estuary, south	
	carolina, town creek, clambank creek, east coast, southeast	
KEYWORDS:	coast, coastal, georgetown county, usa, water column, surface waters, benthos, benthic, bottom	
ABSTRACT:	Abstract:	
	A group of eight tidal creeks dominated by oysters, Crassostrea virginica, in North Inlet, South Carolina,	USA were studied using a replicated
	BACI (Before - After Control - Incident) design in which all creeks are sampled simultaneously. Before the	e pre-manipulation year, oyster
	biomass in each creek was manipulated so that all eight creeks had an equal oyster biomass to water vo	
	of oysters per cubic meter. Detailed geomorphological observations were made on each creek as the stu- were measured weekly in each creek and exhibited seasonal and inter-annual influences. Phytoplanktor	
	high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Intensive planktonic - microbial loop and nekton samp	
	Oyster growth was measured monthly. In the second or manipulation year, the role of oysters was teste	ed by removing them from four creeks.
	Planktonic abundance and dispersal of the oyster parasite, Perkinsus marinus, nekton abundance and bi	
	survival, changes in water chemistry, and phytoplankton pigment levels were also investigated in the tid year.	lal creeks during this manipulation
	Jean.	
	Purpose:	
	The CREEK Project was initiated in order to investigate the hypothesis that oyster reefs control the struc In order to verify the outcome of the project, other variables (i.e. water chemistry, chlorophyll a, suspen	
	phytoplankton) were monitored to provide ecosystem level information and understanding about the ro	
	g	
METHODS:	Statistical Design(Statistical Field Design of Creeks)	
	A replicated BACI (Before-After Control-Impact) design with eight similar tidal creeks as replicates was u	
	were additionally assigned to one of four blocks based on their physical locations within the estuary and differences at this scale. Blocking was deemed important because Clambank Creek creeks drain an uplan	·
	do not border any uplands, and because there is a salinity gradient from north to south with those creel	
	experience low salinity spillover from Winyah Bay during "freshets". The "Before Manipulation Year" be	egan in March 1997 and ended in
	February 1998. The "After Manipulation Year" began in March 1998 following the removal of oysters from the control of the cont	
	each in Clambank and Town Creeks. Thus, the CREEK study satisfies a number of concerns raised by Hur creeks; (2) the creeks are replicated; and (3) the creeks are sampled repeatedly, both before and after the creeks are replicated.	
	heeds the recommendation of Stewart-Oaten et al. (1986) by sampling all creeks simultaneously. The st	
	year is an adaptation of Stewart-Oaten et al.'s (1986) proposed analysis.	
	Field Collection Procedures and Protectle (Tidal Creek Mornhology)	
	Field Collection Procedures and Protocols (Tidal Creek Morphology) A detailed topographic/bathymetric survey of each creek and its basin was conducted utilizing a Topcon	n total station. All elevations were
	referenced to a common datum that are in turn referenced to eight USGS permanent benchmarks. These	
	length, width, cross-sectional area at mouth, surface area, and water volume.	
	Field Collection Procedures and Protocols (Plankton and Microbial Loop Sampling)	
	The planktonic food web in the experimental system was examined using a series of bioassay experimen	nts. Replicate samples were collected
	at a morning mid-ebb tide at each of the eight experimental creeks and dispensed into 1-liter acid-clean	ned polycarbonate bottles.
	Laboratory Collection Procedures and Protocols (Plankton and Microbial Loop)	
	Samples were incubated under various treatments designed to examine the effect of substrate enrichm	ent or reduced grazing pressure on
	phytoplankton community biomass (chlorophyll a). The treatments included 4 μ M NH4 addition, 20 μ N	1 glycine addition, and a 20:1 dilution
	treatment used to reduce grazing pressure on phytoplankton by decreasing encounter rates between m	
	prey (Landry and Hassett 1982). Lewitus et al. (1998) have found, from experiments involving serial diludilution fell within the range where grazer reduction over 72 hours was saturated. Bottles were incubate	
	estuarine water to simulate tidal creek temperatures. Overhead fluorescent cool white bulbs provided u	
	light/dark cycle simulating natural conditions. Water samples were mechanically stirred (gently) at unifo	
	a was measured daily at mid-day over the 72-hour time course.	
	·	

Field Collection Procedures and Protocols (Nekton Abundance and Biomass) Nekton seasonal abundance and biomass were determined for each creek. Simultaneous collections of nekton were made with block nets set at early morning slack high tide at all eight creek mouths. Catches were removed from the block nets, and pools within each creek bed were seined at low tide to provide a complete assessment of fish and motile macroinvertebrate use of the creeks. To investigate the role of oyster reefs on nekton, live oysters were removed from creeks 1, 4, 5, and 8. In 1998, all eight creeks were again sampled for nekton, following the same procedures established in 1997. All samples were frozen. Laboratory Collection Procedures and Protocols (Nekton Abundance and Biomass) To determine species biomass and abundance, pool samples from 1997 and 1998 were thawed and animals were sorted by species. Most animals were identified by genus and species; however some were identified only to the genus level. A Fish Measuring Board (FMB) was used to obtain SL (in mm) data for each member of a species up to 100. Biomass (in grams) data was obtained first for the 100 members, and then for the entire species sample. Species abundance was then determined by the FMB. This procedure was completed for each species in the pool sample. For block nets, samples were thawed and sub-sampled following the preceding guidelines. Total species biomass and abundance were extrapolated from sub-sample data using the FMB. Field Collection Procedures and Protocols (Oyster Biomass) Pre-manipulation refers to the period of time that data were collected from the intertidal creeks after adjusting all eight creek oyster biomass to 8 grams dry body weight per cubic meter and before removal of oysters from the four manipulated creeks. Post-manipulation is that period following removal of oysters from the four manipulated creeks. Survey maps were used to determine the contours of the creeks, the volume of water in the creeks, and the location of oyster reefs within the creeks. Before the pre-manipulation year observations began, the area of each creek covered by oyster reef was measured from field surveys. Oysters from 10 quadrats (0.25²) distributed at different elevations along the length of each creek were collected and total dry body weight per quadrat averaged for each creek. Oyster biomass for each creek was then estimated by multiplying the average biomass per quadrat by the area of oyster reef in each creek. Oysters were then redistributed among creeks by hand to yield an oyster biomass of 8 grams dry body weight per cubic meter of water volume in each creek. Oyster biomass estimates were made from converting length measurements to dry body weight using the allometric relationship published in Dame 1972. The grams dry body per cubic meter relationship was used because it more realistically describes the benthic-pelagic coupling of the oysters to the water column (Dame 1993). Field Collection Procedures and Protocols (Water Chemistries, Suspended solids, Chl a) Water samples were taken once a week from each study creek for chemical analysis. The samples were taken approximately mid-way between the daytime high and low tide stages. Water samples were taken from the center of each creek mouth at a depth of 1 m below the surface, but not closer than about 0.3 m to the bottom. Triplicate samples were collected from each creek and all creeks were sampled within 45 minutes. The sample bottles were immediately placed in ice and rushed to the laboratory for analysis. Temperature was measured at each site as samples were collected. Laboratory Procedures and Protocols (Water Chemistry, Chlorophyll a, Suspended Solids) Salinity values were determined after the water sample was brought back into the laboratory, by placing the water from the sample onto a hand-held refractometer. Seventy-five to 500 ml of the water samples were filtered through a pre-weighed pre-combusted Whatman GFF 0.7 μm (nominal pore size) glass fiber filter usually within one hour of the water sample collection at the Baruch Marine Field Laboratory's Water Chemistry Lab to separate the particulates from the water. Samples were shaken up first before filtering began; the amount of water filtered was determined by how much sediment and other solids were in the sample. In the winter in the absence of phytoplankton blooms and when sedimentation was low, up to 400 ml were filtered. In the summer and usually after heavy rains less water was filtered; the determining factor was to get a good sample of suspended solids on the filter from the water sample in order to get beyond the minimum detection limits of the total suspended solids analysis. A 0.7 µm (nominal pore size) glass fiber filter was used throughout the entire study to determine the cutoff between dissolved and particulate constitutes in the water sample. The filtered water is then run through a Technicon Analyzer. The following water chemistry analysis used filtered aliquots (< 0.7 µm): ammonia, nitrate, ortho phosphate, total nitrogen, total phosphorus, and dissolved organic carbon. What remained on the 0.7 µm filters were used for the Suspended solids and Chlorophyll a analysis. For more details on Water Chemistry, Chlorophyll a, and suspended solids laboratory procedures and citations, please refer to the metadata for this subproject. Field Collection Procedures and Protocols (Phytoplankton Pigment Field Collection Protocol) Water samples collected for chemical analysis (Water Chemistry, Chlorophyll a, and Suspended Solids) were also used for phytoplankton pigment analysis. The samples were taken in triplicate (A, B, and C) approximately mid-way between the daytime high and low tide stages, from the center of each creek mouth, and at a depth of 1 m below the surface, but not closer than about 0.3 m to the bottom. Water temperatures were measured as the samples were taken (see Creek Water Chemistry data) and all samples were collected within 45 minutes. Sample bottles were immediately placed on ice and rushed to the laboratory for processing. Samples were collected on a weekly basis, however, not all samples were processed for pigments. In 1997 and 1998 duplicate samples (A and B) were processed. In 1999 only one sample (B) was used. Water samples were processed for pigments more frequently in summer months and least frequently in winter months. Processing frequency varied from every couple of months to every couple of weeks. Laboratory Procedures and Protocols (Phytoplankton Pigment Sample Processing and HPLC Analysis) The water samples were filtered using a 25-millimeter glass fiber grade F (GF/F) filter, with a vacuum of 10 inches mercury or less, within a couple hours of collection. The filter and the algae it contained were stored in a -80 degree Celsius freezer until the samples were needed. from this freezer, each sample (filter with algae) was placed into a glass vial. The HPLC technician added 2 milliliters of HPLC grade acetone and agitated the mixture with a vortex mixer for about 20 seconds, or until the filter broke down. The filter and algae "slurry" were then placed in a -20 degree Celsius freezer overnight. The next morning, the sample was vortexed again and then syringe filtered through a 0.2 micron pore size PTFE membrane. The filtrate was stored at -20 degrees Celsius until it was analyzed, usually within a day or two. Analysis was conducted using a Beckman System Gold HPLC, initially with the Beckman Gold software and later with the 32 Karat Gold software, following the method of Van Heukelem and Thomas (2001) specific for the Agilent XDB C8 column. Field Collection Procedures and Protocols (Oyster Disease Monitoring) In August 1997, 10 oysters were collected from each of three to five discrete reefs located along the main stem of each creek. Oysters were collected during low tide and the distance from the creek mouth, creek depth, temperature and salinity were recorded. Samples were returned to the laboratory and refrigerated until processed for Perkinsus marinus infection intensity. Following the experimental removal of virtually all oysters from the experimental creeks, oysters that recruited into both experimental (removal) and control creeks were subsequently sampled using the same 1997 methodology in fall of 1999. Percent prevalence and weighted prevalences were calculated and compared among experimental and removal creeks and with respect to distance from mouth and depth of reef at collection site. Laboratory Procedures and Protocols (Oyster Disease Monitoring) Samples were returned to the laboratory and refrigerated until processed for Perkinsus marinus infection intensity. P. marinus infection intensity was determined using Ray's fluid thioglycollate medium (RFTM) assay (Ray, SM 1966). Field Collection Procedures and Protocols (Internal and External Creek Habitat Survey) The internal habitats of all eight intertidal creeks (4 in Clambank Creek, 4 in Town Creek) were surveyed manually (on foot) using tape

	measures during low tide. One tape was positioned along the cel creek bottom (from the lowest points of adjacent creek banks) p					
	meter along the lengths of the main creek and each tributary.	o. poa.oa.a. eo ao aoo	ore acternation every ever			
	A one meter by one meter PVC quadrat was used to determine to according to 16 bottom types. Bottom sediments without living o					
	Twelve other categories were based on low density shell, mediur					
	sediment types. These data were used to generate percent value	es for proportions of the various botton	m types. Aggregates of these data were			
	used to create three general categories of bottom types: percent	t mud, percent hard bottom without sh	hell, and percent oyster (live).			
	Additional internal geomorphological variables included: cross-se	ectional area at the mouth number of	hranches (forks of tributaries) number			
	of changes in direction of the creek axis that exceeded 10%, num					
	the area of submerged bottom at low tide.	•				
		unuoused Dattom tumos uvers determin	ad for the intertidal area between the			
	External characteristics just outside the study creeks were also sumouth of each intertidal creek and the mean low water (MLW) n					
	the mouth and MLW varied among sites, the lateral extent of the					
	upstream and ten meters downstream of the mouths of each int					
	mouth of the intertidal creek, and the width of this area from the procedure identified for charcterizing bottom area and types insi					
	mouths.	ide of the intertidal creeks was used to	or characterizing the area outside or the			
	Some of these survey measurements were combined with the to derived variables describing the geomorphology of the creeks.	ital station survey measurements done	e by Corbett, et al. to create addition-			
	Field Collection Procedures and Protocols (Oyster Growth and S	Survival)				
	During the pre-manipulation year, oyster growth and survivorshi		esh bags containing 25 marked and			
	measured oysters in each of the eight experimental tidal creeks.	As the creeks are ephemeral and tidal	exposure is a critical factor in bivalve			
	physiology, bags were placed at four approximately equidistant I					
	measured elevation. Summer observations were between July ar	iu Octobei , and fail-winter observatio	ns were from October to February.			
	Field Collection Procedures and Protocols (Oyster Growth and S					
	Growth was measured as change in length to the nearest 0.1 mm					
VARIABLE DESCRIPTIONS:						
	Variable Description	Units	Measurement Scale	Code Information	Number	
VARIABLE DESCRIPTIONS: Variable Name	Variable Description	Units	Measurement Scale	Code Information	Number Type	
		Units	Measurement Scale	Code Information		
	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet		Measurement Scale	Code Information		
	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running		Measurement Scale	1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank	Type	
Variable Name	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running into Town Creek. See map for creek numbering and location			1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) 5-8 (Creeklets running into	Type	
	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running		Measurement Scale nominal	1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) 5-8 (Creeklets running into	Type	
Variable Name	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running into Town Creek. See map for creek numbering and location within North Inlet Estuary.			1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) 5-8 (Creeklets running into	Type	
Variable Name Creek	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running into Town Creek. See map for creek numbering and location within North Inlet Estuary. month/day/year (mm/dd/yyyy) that the sample was collected (not		nominal	1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) 5-8 (Creeklets running into	Type	
Variable Name Creek	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running into Town Creek. See map for creek numbering and location within North Inlet Estuary.			1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) 5-8 (Creeklets running into	Type	
Variable Name Creek	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running into Town Creek. See map for creek numbering and location within North Inlet Estuary. month/day/year (mm/dd/yyyy) that the sample was collected (not necessarily processed or analyzed) the letter identification of each of the four bags deployed in the		nominal	1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) 5-8 (Creeklets running into	Type	
Variable Name Creek	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running into Town Creek. See map for creek numbering and location within North Inlet Estuary. month/day/year (mm/dd/yyyy) that the sample was collected (not necessarily processed or analyzed) the letter identification of each of the four bags deployed in the creeks. Bag A was closest to the creek mouth, B was the next		nominal	1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) 5-8 (Creeklets running into	Type	
Variable Name	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running into Town Creek. See map for creek numbering and location within North Inlet Estuary. month/day/year (mm/dd/yyyy) that the sample was collected (not necessarily processed or analyzed) the letter identification of each of the four bags deployed in the creeks. Bag A was closest to the creek mouth, B was the next deployed bag, and D was the bag deployed furthest up into the		nominal	1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) 5-8 (Creeklets running into	Type	
Variable Name Creek Date	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running into Town Creek. See map for creek numbering and location within North Inlet Estuary. month/day/year (mm/dd/yyyy) that the sample was collected (not necessarily processed or analyzed) the letter identification of each of the four bags deployed in the creeks. Bag A was closest to the creek mouth, B was the next deployed bag, and D was the bag deployed furthest up into the	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8	nominal	1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) 5-8 (Creeklets running into	Type	
Creek Date Bag	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running into Town Creek. See map for creek numbering and location within North Inlet Estuary. month/day/year (mm/dd/yyyy) that the sample was collected (not necessarily processed or analyzed) the letter identification of each of the four bags deployed in the creeks. Bag A was closest to the creek mouth, B was the next deployed bag, and D was the bag deployed furthest up into the creek.	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8 A,B,C,D	nominal datetime nominal	1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) 5-8 (Creeklets running into Town Creek)	integer	
Creek Date Bag	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running into Town Creek. See map for creek numbering and location within North Inlet Estuary. month/day/year (mm/dd/yyyy) that the sample was collected (not necessarily processed or analyzed) the letter identification of each of the four bags deployed in the creeks. Bag A was closest to the creek mouth, B was the next deployed bag, and D was the bag deployed furthest up into the	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8 A,B,C,D	nominal	1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) 5-8 (Creeklets running into Town Creek)	Type	
Creek Date Bag Oyster#	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running into Town Creek. See map for creek numbering and location within North Inlet Estuary. month/day/year (mm/dd/yyyy) that the sample was collected (not necessarily processed or analyzed) the letter identification of each of the four bags deployed in the creeks. Bag A was closest to the creek mouth, B was the next deployed bag, and D was the bag deployed furthest up into the creek. the numbering identification of each of the 25 oysters in each bag	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8 A,B,C,D	nominal datetime nominal	1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) 5-8 (Creeklets running into Town Creek)	integer	
Creek Date Bag	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running into Town Creek. See map for creek numbering and location within North Inlet Estuary. month/day/year (mm/dd/yyyy) that the sample was collected (not necessarily processed or analyzed) the letter identification of each of the four bags deployed in the creeks. Bag A was closest to the creek mouth, B was the next deployed bag, and D was the bag deployed furthest up into the creek. the numbering identification of each of the 25 oysters in each bag	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8 A,B,C,D	nominal datetime nominal	1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) 5-8 (Creeklets running into Town Creek)	integer	
Creek Date Bag Oyster# Initial	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running into Town Creek. See map for creek numbering and location within North Inlet Estuary. month/day/year (mm/dd/yyyy) that the sample was collected (not necessarily processed or analyzed) the letter identification of each of the four bags deployed in the creeks. Bag A was closest to the creek mouth, B was the next deployed bag, and D was the bag deployed furthest up into the creek. the numbering identification of each of the 25 oysters in each bag the shell height measurement at the deployment date.	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8 A,B,C,D	nominal datetime nominal nominal	1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) 5-8 (Creeklets running into Town Creek)	integer	
Creek Date Bag Oyster#	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running into Town Creek. See map for creek numbering and location within North Inlet Estuary. month/day/year (mm/dd/yyyy) that the sample was collected (not necessarily processed or analyzed) the letter identification of each of the four bags deployed in the creeks. Bag A was closest to the creek mouth, B was the next deployed bag, and D was the bag deployed furthest up into the creek. the numbering identification of each of the 25 oysters in each bag the shell height measurement at the deployment date.	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8 A,B,C,D	nominal datetime nominal	1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) 5-8 (Creeklets running into Town Creek)	integer	
Creek Date Bag Oyster# Initial	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running into Town Creek. See map for creek numbering and location within North Inlet Estuary. month/day/year (mm/dd/yyyy) that the sample was collected (not necessarily processed or analyzed) the letter identification of each of the four bags deployed in the creeks. Bag A was closest to the creek mouth, B was the next deployed bag, and D was the bag deployed furthest up into the creek. the numbering identification of each of the 25 oysters in each bag the shell height measurement at the deployment date.	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8 A,B,C,D	nominal datetime nominal nominal	1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) 5-8 (Creeklets running into Town Creek)	integer	
Creek Date Bag Oyster# Initial Final	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running into Town Creek. See map for creek numbering and location within North Inlet Estuary. month/day/year (mm/dd/yyyy) that the sample was collected (not necessarily processed or analyzed) the letter identification of each of the four bags deployed in the creeks. Bag A was closest to the creek mouth, B was the next deployed bag, and D was the bag deployed furthest up into the creek. the numbering identification of each of the 25 oysters in each bag the shell height measurement at the deployment date. Final Oyster Shell Height – Initial Oyster Shell Height. (This	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8 A,B,C,D	nominal datetime nominal nominal	1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) 5-8 (Creeklets running into Town Creek)	integer	
Creek Date Bag Oyster# Initial Final	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running into Town Creek. See map for creek numbering and location within North Inlet Estuary. month/day/year (mm/dd/yyyy) that the sample was collected (not necessarily processed or analyzed) the letter identification of each of the four bags deployed in the creeks. Bag A was closest to the creek mouth, B was the next deployed bag, and D was the bag deployed furthest up into the creek. the numbering identification of each of the 25 oysters in each bag the shell height measurement at the deployment date. Final Oyster Shell Height – Initial Oyster Shell Height. (This	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8 A,B,C,D millimeters millimeters	nominal datetime nominal nominal ratio	1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) 5-8 (Creeklets running into Town Creek)	integer real	
Creek Date Bag Oyster# Initial Final Growth	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running into Town Creek. See map for creek numbering and location within North Inlet Estuary. month/day/year (mm/dd/yyyy) that the sample was collected (not necessarily processed or analyzed) the letter identification of each of the four bags deployed in the creeks. Bag A was closest to the creek mouth, B was the next deployed bag, and D was the bag deployed furthest up into the creek. the numbering identification of each of the 25 oysters in each bag the shell height measurement at the deployment date. the shell height measurement after each deployment. Final Oyster Shell Height – Initial Oyster Shell Height. (This calculation was done forall of the oysters on each date.)	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8 A,B,C,D millimeters millimeters	nominal datetime nominal nominal ratio ratio	1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) 5-8 (Creeklets running into Town Creek)	integer integer real real	
Creek Date Bag Oyster# Initial Final Growth	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running into Town Creek. See map for creek numbering and location within North Inlet Estuary. month/day/year (mm/dd/yyyy) that the sample was collected (not necessarily processed or analyzed) the letter identification of each of the four bags deployed in the creeks. Bag A was closest to the creek mouth, B was the next deployed bag, and D was the bag deployed furthest up into the creek. the numbering identification of each of the 25 oysters in each bag the shell height measurement at the deployment date. the shell height measurement after each deployment. Final Oyster Shell Height – Initial Oyster Shell Height. (This calculation was done forall of the oysters on each date.)	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8 A,B,C,D millimeters millimeters	nominal datetime nominal nominal ratio	1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) 5-8 (Creeklets running into Town Creek)	integer real	
Creek Date Bag Oyster# Initial Final Growth	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running into Town Creek. See map for creek numbering and location within North Inlet Estuary. month/day/year (mm/dd/yyyy) that the sample was collected (not necessarily processed or analyzed) the letter identification of each of the four bags deployed in the creeks. Bag A was closest to the creek mouth, B was the next deployed bag, and D was the bag deployed furthest up into the creek. the numbering identification of each of the 25 oysters in each bag the shell height measurement at the deployment date. Final Oyster Shell Height — Initial Oyster Shell Height. (This calculation was done forall of the oysters on each date.) the number of days between the deployment date and the recovery date.	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8 A,B,C,D millimeters millimeters	nominal datetime nominal nominal ratio ratio	1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) 5-8 (Creeklets running into Town Creek)	integer integer real real	
Creek Date Bag Oyster# Initial Final Growth Days	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running into Town Creek. See map for creek numbering and location within North Inlet Estuary. month/day/year (mm/dd/yyyy) that the sample was collected (not necessarily processed or analyzed) the letter identification of each of the four bags deployed in the creeks. Bag A was closest to the creek mouth, B was the next deployed bag, and D was the bag deployed furthest up into the creek. the numbering identification of each of the 25 oysters in each bag the shell height measurement at the deployment date. the shell height measurement after each deployment. Final Oyster Shell Height – Initial Oyster Shell Height. (This calculation was done forall of the oysters on each date.) the number of days between the deployment date and the recovery date. Growth divided by the number of elapsed days, i.e. 8/18/97 –	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8 A,B,C,D millimeters millimeters millimeters	nominal nominal nominal ratio ratio datetime datetime	1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) 5-8 (Creeklets running into Town Creek)	integer real real integer	
Creek Date Bag Oyster# Initial Final Growth Days	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running into Town Creek. See map for creek numbering and location within North Inlet Estuary. month/day/year (mm/dd/yyyy) that the sample was collected (not necessarily processed or analyzed) the letter identification of each of the four bags deployed in the creeks. Bag A was closest to the creek mouth, B was the next deployed bag, and D was the bag deployed furthest up into the creek. the numbering identification of each of the 25 oysters in each bag the shell height measurement at the deployment date. Final Oyster Shell Height – Initial Oyster Shell Height. (This calculation was done forall of the oysters on each date.) the number of days between the deployment date and the recovery date. Growth divided by the number of elapsed days, i.e. 8/18/97 –	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8 A,B,C,D millimeters millimeters	nominal datetime nominal nominal ratio ratio	1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) 5-8 (Creeklets running into Town Creek)	integer integer real real	
Creek Date Bag Oyster# Initial	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running into Town Creek. See map for creek numbering and location within North Inlet Estuary. month/day/year (mm/dd/yyyy) that the sample was collected (not necessarily processed or analyzed) the letter identification of each of the four bags deployed in the creeks. Bag A was closest to the creek mouth, B was the next deployed bag, and D was the bag deployed furthest up into the creek. the numbering identification of each of the 25 oysters in each bag the shell height measurement at the deployment date. the shell height measurement after each deployment. Final Oyster Shell Height – Initial Oyster Shell Height. (This calculation was done forall of the oysters on each date.) the number of days between the deployment date and the recovery date. Growth divided by the number of elapsed days, i.e. 8/18/97 – 10/21/97 = 64 days.	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8 A,B,C,D millimeters millimeters millimeters	nominal nominal nominal ratio ratio datetime datetime	1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) 5-8 (Creeklets running into Town Creek)	integer real real integer	
Creek Date Bag Oyster# Initial Final Growth Days	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running into Town Creek. See map for creek numbering and location within North Inlet Estuary. month/day/year (mm/dd/yyyy) that the sample was collected (not necessarily processed or analyzed) the letter identification of each of the four bags deployed in the creeks. Bag A was closest to the creek mouth, B was the next deployed bag, and D was the bag deployed furthest up into the creek. the numbering identification of each of the 25 oysters in each bag the shell height measurement at the deployment date. the shell height measurement after each deployment. Final Oyster Shell Height – Initial Oyster Shell Height. (This calculation was done forall of the oysters on each date.) the number of days between the deployment date and the recovery date. Growth divided by the number of elapsed days, i.e. 8/18/97 – 10/21/97 = 64 days.	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8 A,B,C,D millimeters millimeters millimeters	nominal nominal nominal ratio ratio datetime datetime	1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) 5-8 (Creeklets running into Town Creek)	integer real real integer	
Creek Date Bag Oyster# Initial Final Growth Days	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running into Town Creek. See map for creek numbering and location within North Inlet Estuary. month/day/year (mm/dd/yyyy) that the sample was collected (not necessarily processed or analyzed) the letter identification of each of the four bags deployed in the creeks. Bag A was closest to the creek mouth, B was the next deployed bag, and D was the bag deployed furthest up into the creek. the numbering identification of each of the 25 oysters in each bag the shell height measurement at the deployment date. the shell height measurement after each deployment. Final Oyster Shell Height – Initial Oyster Shell Height. (This calculation was done forall of the oysters on each date.) the number of days between the deployment date and the recovery date. Growth divided by the number of elapsed days, i.e. 8/18/97 – 10/21/97 = 64 days.	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8 A,B,C,D millimeters millimeters millimeters	nominal nominal nominal ratio ratio datetime datetime	1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) 5-8 (Creeklets running into Town Creek)	integer real real integer	
Creek Date Bag Oyster# Initial Final Growth Days	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running into Town Creek. See map for creek numbering and location within North Inlet Estuary. month/day/year (mm/dd/yyyy) that the sample was collected (not necessarily processed or analyzed) the letter identification of each of the four bags deployed in the creeks. Bag A was closest to the creek mouth, B was the next deployed bag, and D was the bag deployed furthest up into the creek. the numbering identification of each of the 25 oysters in each bag the shell height measurement at the deployment date. the shell height measurement after each deployment. Final Oyster Shell Height – Initial Oyster Shell Height. (This calculation was done forall of the oysters on each date.) the number of days between the deployment date and the recovery date. Growth divided by the number of elapsed days, i.e. 8/18/97 – 10/21/97 = 64 days. Pigment concentrations (reported in nanograms per milliliter) were calculated by the HPLC technician using the following formula: (Peak Area * Rf * 20) / Volume. See the Process Section for more information on this equation. The HPLC technician felt	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8 A,B,C,D millimeters millimeters millimeters	nominal nominal nominal ratio ratio datetime datetime	1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) 5-8 (Creeklets running into Town Creek)	integer real real integer	
Creek Date Bag Oyster# Initial Final Growth Days	numbering identification of each tidal creek within North Inlet Estuary where samples were collected; creeks 1-4 were creeklets running into Clambank Creek; creeks 5-8 were creeklets running into Town Creek. See map for creek numbering and location within North Inlet Estuary. month/day/year (mm/dd/yyyy) that the sample was collected (not necessarily processed or analyzed) the letter identification of each of the four bags deployed in the creeks. Bag A was closest to the creek mouth, B was the next deployed bag, and D was the bag deployed furthest up into the creek. the numbering identification of each of the 25 oysters in each bag the shell height measurement at the deployment date. the shell height measurement after each deployment. Final Oyster Shell Height – Initial Oyster Shell Height. (This calculation was done forall of the oysters on each date.) the number of days between the deployment date and the recovery date. Growth divided by the number of elapsed days, i.e. 8/18/97 – 10/21/97 = 64 days. Pigment concentrations (reported in nanograms per milliliter) were calculated by the HPLC technician using the following formula: (Peak Area * Rf * 20) / Volume. See the Process Section	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8 A,B,C,D millimeters millimeters millimeters	nominal nominal nominal ratio ratio datetime datetime	1-4(Creeklets running into Clambank Creek) 5-8 (Creeklets running into Town Creek)	integer real real integer	

Creek/Rep	The creek number (1-8) and replicate designation (A-B) are integers and have no decimal places assigned to them.		nominal	integer
Total Nitrogen Filtered (TNF)	The Technicon Autoanalyzer used for these analyses reports values to three decimal places, but due to variations and slight contamination of the oxidizing reagent, handling, and processing of the water samples, the accuracy of the final values is only to ± 1 micromoles per liter. However, the measurements (reported in micromoles per liter) are reported with one decimal place. Negative calculated values for total nitrogen and phosphorus can occur and negative values are the result of mathematical manipulation of the raw values.	± 1 micromoles per liter	ratio	real
Total Phosphorus Filtered (TPF)	The Technicon Autoanalyzer used for these analyses reports values to three decimal places, but due to variations and slight contamination of the oxidizing reagent, handling, and processing of the water samples, the accuracy of the final values is only to \pm 1 micromoles per liter. However, the measurements (reported in micromoles per liter) are reported with one decimal place. Negative calculated values for total nitrogen and phosphorus can occur and negative values are the result of mathematical manipulation of the raw values.	± 1 micromoles per liter	ratio	real
Ortho phosphate (PO4)	The handling and processing of these nutrients is more accurate, and there is less room for error. The measurements (reported in micromoles per liter) are given with two decimal places.	± 0.08 micromoles per liter	ratio	real
Ammonia (NH4)	The handling and processing of these nutrients is more accurate, and there is less room for error. The measurements (reported in micromoles per liter) are given with two decimal places.	± 0.2 micromoles per liter	ratio	real
Nitrate (NO3)	The handling and processing of these nutrients is more accurate, and there is less room for error. The measurements (reported in micromoles per liter) are given with two decimal places.	± 0.1 micromoles per liter	ratio	real
Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC)	A Shimadzu Carbon analyzer was used that reads to the nearest one hundredth, but because the final value is an average of the three values, the nearest tenth of a milligram per liter is used (one decimal place).	± 0.1 milligrams per liter	ratio	real
Total Suspended Solids (SusSol)	The balance reads to the fourth decimal place of a gram (ten thousands of a gram), but humidity in the air can influence the filters, so the 3rd decimal place is read and assumed accurate (± 0.001 g = \pm 1 milligram). Measurements are reported in grams/liter with three decimal places in the database.	± 0.001 grams per liter (± 1 milligram per liter)	ratio	real
Organic Suspended Solids (OSS)	The balance reads to the fourth decimal place of a gram (ten thousands of a gram), but humidity in the air can influence the filters, so the 3rd decimal place is read and assumed accurate $(\pm 0.001 \text{ g} = \pm 1 \text{ milligram})$. Measurements are reported in grams/liter with three decimal places in the database.	± 0.001 grams per liter (± 1 milligram per liter)	ratio	real
	The balance reads to the fourth decimal place of a gram (ten thousands of a gram), but humidity in the air can influence the filters, so the 3rd decimal place is read and assumed accurate (± 0.001 g = ± 1 milligram). Measurements are reported in	± 0.001 grams per liter (± 1 milligram		
Inorganic Suspended Solids (ISS)	Strickland and Parsons (1972) say that detection limits depend upon the volume filtered and the sensitivity of the fluorometer. Using a Turner fluorometer, the accuracy limit documentation states a limit of 0.01 µg/L when 2 L was filtered. Because we filter 10-20 ml, the accuracy would be much less. We are estimating that our chlorophyll accuracy is 0.1 µg/L	, ,	ratio	real
Chlorophyll a (Chla)	at best. No tests have been done to verify this. Measurements are reported in micrograms/liter with two decimal places in the database.		ratio	real

	Refractometer has lines that represent every two part per thousands and can be read to the nearest part per thousand; but the instrument is only accurate ± 2 parts per thousand. The measurements are reported in parts per thousand with no decimal places in the database.	± 2 parts per thousand	ratio	real	
Water Temperature	Thermometers have lines for each degree C. Could only read to the nearest 1°C with no decimal places in the database.	± 1 degrees Celsius	ratio	real	